New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 03-13-01-04-0003 Grade Range: 6-12

Name: Deposit Middle-Senior High School

Principal: Thomas Mcmullin

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	46
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	60	67	63
Eighth	69	55	56
Ninth	67	72	60
Tenth	52	53	61
Eleventh	54	48	49
Twelfth	36	55	46
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	338	350	381

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	001-02 200		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	3	0.9%	5	1.4%	2	0.5%
Hispanic	1	0.3%	6	1.7%	7	1.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	333	98.5%	338	96.6%	372	97.6%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Avei age Class Size							
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04					
Kindergarten	0	0	0					
Common Branch	0	0	0					
English Grade 8	21	13	18					
Mathematics Grade 8	17	10	14					
Science Grade 8	24	18	19					
Social Studies Grade 8	23	14	19					
English Grade 10	17	18	16					
Mathematics Grade 10	19	17	27					
Science Grade 10	18	12	24					
Social Studies Grade 10	18	12	20					

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
48	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	82	24.3%	73	20.9%	128	33.6%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.7%		95.1%		94.5%
Student Suspensions	37	10.7%	30	8.9%	28	8.0%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Reduced Lunch	8.0%	7.7%	11.3%
Public Assistance	31-40%	31-40%	41-50%
Student Stability	97%	95%	96%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	34
Total Other Professional Staff	5
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	9 9									
		2001–02			2002–03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	0	0	0%	52	29	56%	42	31	74%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
All Students	0	0	0%	52	29	56%	42	31	74%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	12	19	3	2	5	1
Percent	29%	45%	7%	5%	12%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
0	0	0	0

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		5		3	1.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		3	1.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		5		6	3.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		1	3.6%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		1	3.6%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	5	2.2%	4	1.8%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	5	2.2%	7	3.1%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	106	51
(0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	16	5
6–8	Number of All Students	0	122	56
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	34%
	Number of General-Education Students	5	201	184
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	4	27	32
9–12	Number of All Students	9	228	216
	Percent of Enrollment	4%	100%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Buoguam	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	8	100%	13	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	34	97%	38	63%	

Students with Disabilities

Т.,4	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	6	83%	1	#

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	4	#	2	#	
Science	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	5	60%	

Students with Disabilities

oudenes with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	0	0%	3	#	3	#			
Science	0	0%	4	#	5	40%			
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Global Studies	0	0%	4	#	5	20%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	2	#			

(Form - E)

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	0	45	46	0	0	8
Number Scoring 55–100	0	44	43	0	0	6
Number Scoring 65–100	0	43	40	0	0	4
Number Scoring 85–100	0	13	22	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	98%	93%	0%	0%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	96%	87%	0%	0%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	29%	48%	0%	0%	12%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	0	50	55	0	6	5
Number Scoring 55–100	0	40	53	0	3	4
Number Scoring 65–100	0	36	47	0	3	4
Number Scoring 85–100	0	8	20	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	80%	96%	0%	50%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	72%	85%	0%	50%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	16%	36%	0%	0%	40%
8		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
8		story and Geo				
Number Tested	0	58	58	0	9	6
Number Scoring 55–100	0	50	45	0	4	2
Number Scoring 65–100	0	45	39	0	4	2
Number Scoring 85–100	0	15	9	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	86%	78%	0%	44%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	78%	67%	0%	44%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	26%	16%	0%	0%	0%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	nment			•
Number Tested	0	44	49	0	1	7
Number Scoring 55–100	0	44	42	0	#	5
Number Scoring 65–100	0	42	39	0	#	4
Number Scoring 85–100	0	18	16	0	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	86%	0%	#	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	95%	80%	0%	#	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	41%	33%	0%	#	14%

(Form - F)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	0	44	48	0	4	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	43	47	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	43	43	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	14	7	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	98%	98%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	98%	90%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	32%	15%	0%	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	0	62	56	0	8	8
Number Scoring 55–100	0	48	50	0	4	5
Number Scoring 65–100	0	45	45	0	4	4
Number Scoring 85–100	0	9	12	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	77%	89%	0%	50%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	73%	80%	0%	50%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	15%	21%	0%	0%	12%
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	0	32	19	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	30	19	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	19	17	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	2	2	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	94%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	59%	89%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	6%	11%	0%	0%	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents						
		All Students					
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Compi	rehensive Fre		_			
Number Tested	0	16	12	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	16	12	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	16	11	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	9	3	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	92%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	56%	25%	0%	0%	0%	
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Ger	man				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Heb					
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Spa					
Number Tested	0	11	19	0	0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	11	19	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	11	19	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	6	4	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	55%	21%	0%	0%	#	
		rehensive La		0 / 0	370		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	0	26	21	0	0	1				
Number Scoring 55–100	0	24	18	0	0	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	0	21	18	0	0	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	0	6	3	0	0	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	92%	86%	0%	0%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	81%	86%	0%	0%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	23%	14%	0%	0%	#				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001	1–02	2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	0	0%	18	100%	10	100%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	1	#	2	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	52	0%	42%	52%	6%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	6	0%	83%	17%	0%
	All Students	58	0%	47%	48%	5%
						(= =)

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	46	46	46	0	0	0	46	46	46
Number Scoring 55–64	2	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	2
Number Scoring 65–84	29	20	30	0	0	0	29	20	30
Number Scoring 85–100	9	18	12	0	0	0	9	18	12
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)